

From: [Sunset Advisory Commission](#)
To: [Janet Wood](#)
Subject: FW: Form submission from: Public Input Form for Agencies Under Review (Public/After Publication)
Date: Friday, June 27, 2014 9:03:09 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: sundrupal@capitol.local [<mailto:sundrupal@capitol.local>]
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2014 8:57 PM
To: Sunset Advisory Commission
Subject: Form submission from: Public Input Form for Agencies Under Review (Public/After Publication)

Submitted on Thursday, June 26, 2014 - 20:57

Agency: DEPARTMENT STATE HEALTH SERVICES DSHS

First Name: Norris

Last Name: Parks

Title: PhD

Organization you are affiliated with: Private Practice, Diagnostic Medical Physics, Tx Medical Physics Lic. MP 0523

City: El Paso

State: Texas

Your Comments About the Staff Report, Including Recommendations Supported or Opposed: Recommendations to maintain Tx. Medical Physics Licensure as a benefit to Texans are sound. Direct observation and practice in a non-licensure nearby state and Texas clearly shows that the benefits to the populace for licensing Medical Physicists is completely commensurate with licensing physicians. The medical physics practice group with which I am associated covers 300,000 square miles and many regional hospitals, clinics, and major urban medical centers in West and Far West Texas. These facilities are owned by both the private sector and government entities. The medical physicists serving all these entities, regional, local, and urban, should meet the same uniform standards of Texas Medical Physics Licensure.

Any Alternative or New Recommendations on This Agency: It is recommended that Texas maintain Medical Physicist licensure.

My Comment Will Be Made Public: I agree