

From: [Sunset Advisory Commission](#)
To: [Janet Wood](#)
Subject: FW: Comments on licensure to Medical Physicist
Date: Thursday, June 19, 2014 1:43:24 PM

From: Murali Nair [mailto:mnair@covhs.org]
Sent: Thursday, June 19, 2014 10:12 AM
To: Sunset Advisory Commission
Subject: Comments on licensure to Medical Physicist

Dear Sir

I am a licensed medical Physicist (MP0409) in Texas serving for the last 18 years in Texas and more than 30 years in the field at various States in US and abroad. I am very delighted to submit this letter in support of the Licensure status for medical Physicists and Radiology technologists. The following are my reasoning:

1. The licensure is a yard stick to screen qualified professionals in the field
2. Especially with the incidence of mis-administrations in Therapeutic and Diagnostic Radiology in hospitals, it is very essential that qualified staff are performing the procedure
3. The licensure encourages the hospital to justify hiring qualified staff for providing service to patients
4. We do not want staffs who have no background or knowledge of Radiation safety to provide service in private clinics
5. Above all the licensed staff get valued in the profession
6. The Physicians are licensed by the board to practice , the same manner the physicist who are certified by the same board must also be mandated to have licensure.

I strongly support Licensure status for medical physicist and Technologists.

Murali Nair Ph.D, DABR

Chief Medical Physicist

Joe Arrington Cancer Center

Covenant Gamma Southwest

4101 22nd Place

Lubbock, TX:79410

P:806-725-7924 F:806-723-6035

St. Joseph Health 

Notice from St. Joseph Health System:

Please note that the information contained in this message may be privileged and confidential and protected from disclosure.