

From: [Sunset Advisory Commission](#)
To: [Janet Wood](#)
Subject: FW: Form submission from: Public Input Form for Agencies Under Review (Public/After Publication)
Date: Wednesday, June 18, 2014 1:15:29 PM

-----Original Message-----

From: sundrupal@capitol.local [<mailto:sundrupal@capitol.local>]
Sent: Wednesday, June 18, 2014 12:40 PM
To: Sunset Advisory Commission
Subject: Form submission from: Public Input Form for Agencies Under Review (Public/After Publication)

Submitted on Wednesday, June 18, 2014 - 12:40

Agency: DEPARTMENT STATE HEALTH SERVICES DSHS

First Name: Courtney

Last Name: McClellan

Title: Radiologist Technologist

Organization you are affiliated with:

City: Belton

State: Texas

Your Comments About the Staff Report, Including Recommendations Supported or Opposed:

A licensure policy should stay in affect because iniozing radiation & those professionals that administer it should be regulated by the state in healthcare facilities to ensure the saftey of the patients. I have listed several reasons regaurding this issue.

- Licensure for radiologic technologists ensures that patients are being treated by individuals who have met education and certification standards.
- Licensed radiologic technologists lower patient exposure to ionizing radiation, a known carcinogen, by optimizing technique for medical imaging and treating cancers and other illnesses. Unlicensed personnel do not understand how to minimize exposure while achieving quality images or effective treatments.
- Licensure for radiologic technologists preserves the state's right to penalize individuals who may not treat patients according to professional standards or administer radiation correctly. Without licensure, the state cannot protect its citizens from untrained individuals.

Any Alternative or New Recommendations on This Agency: State regulation is a must when dealing with ionizing radiation to our patients.

My Comment Will Be Made Public: I agree