

From: [Sunset Advisory Commission](#)
To: [Janet Wood](#)
Subject: FW: Form submission from: Public Input Form for Agencies Under Review (Public/After Publication)
Date: Wednesday, June 25, 2014 8:02:31 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: sundrupal@capitol.local [<mailto:sundrupal@capitol.local>]
Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2014 9:03 PM
To: Sunset Advisory Commission
Subject: Form submission from: Public Input Form for Agencies Under Review (Public/After Publication)

Submitted on Tuesday, June 24, 2014 - 21:03

Agency: DEPARTMENT STATE HEALTH SERVICES DSHS

First Name: Lisa

Last Name: Knoll

Title: Radiology student

Organization you are affiliated with: NCTC

City: Double Oak

State: Texas

Your Comments About the Staff Report, Including Recommendations Supported or Opposed:

RE: Issue 3: The Unmanageable Scope of DSHS' Regulatory Functions Reduces Needed Focus on Protecting Public Health.

Would you want someone without a license administering ionizing radiation to your body? I am currently a student of Radiology and will be testing for licensure next May. So, I can't attest to meat packing or massage therapist programs, but I would like to address the need for radiologic licenses. There is an enormous amount of information needed to perform proper x-ray exposures. By maintaining the licensing, it enforces that people obtain the proper education regarding radiation safety and function. Technologists study patient care and how to handle situations, how to administer the lowest dose to achieve the most effect diagnostic image, radiation protection and safety just to name a little. I'm strongly opposed to deregulating Radiologic licensures.

Any Alternative or New Recommendations on This Agency: Out of the two options recommended by the Sunset staff, I elect to have radiological licensing transferred to another department that can manage it and not deregulate it.

My Comment Will Be Made Public: I agree