

**From:** [Sunset Advisory Commission](#)  
**To:** [Janet Wood](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Form submission from: Public Input Form for Agencies Under Review (Public/After Publication)  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 10, 2014 8:02:47 AM

---

-----Original Message-----

From: sundrupal@capitol.local [<mailto:sundrupal@capitol.local>]  
Sent: Monday, June 09, 2014 9:07 PM  
To: Sunset Advisory Commission  
Subject: Form submission from: Public Input Form for Agencies Under Review (Public/After Publication)

Submitted on Monday, June 9, 2014 - 21:06

Agency: DEPARTMENT STATE HEALTH SERVICES DSHS

First Name: Brittany

Last Name: Hyde

Title: Registered diagnostic radiologic technologist

Organization you are affiliated with: Midwestern State University alumni

City: Lewisville

State: Texas

Your Comments About the Staff Report, Including Recommendations Supported or Opposed:

I strongly oppose this act. I find it appalling that discontinuing licensure for individuals to administer radiation is even being considered. Ionizing radiation has the potential to mutate cells and cause genetic alterations if not properly administered- the effects of which can go decades without being known.

A large part of our profession is patient care. Patients have the right to receive the most knowledgeable care when being treated with medical ionizing radiation. Individuals who are unlicensed cannot guarantee that they're administering the lowest possible dose simply because they're uneducated. Licensure promotes education, which protects the patient from negligence.

Any Alternative or New Recommendations on This Agency: Texas should keep with the current law that radiologic technologists, as well as others who administer ionizing radiation, be required to have education from an accredited program, as well as licensure with Texas and the ARRT.

My Comment Will Be Made Public: I agree