

**From:** [Sunset Advisory Commission](#)  
**To:** [Janet Wood](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Form submission from: Public Input Form for Agencies Under Review (Public/After Publication)  
**Date:** Friday, June 27, 2014 9:07:51 AM

---

-----Original Message-----

From: sundrupal@capitol.local [<mailto:sundrupal@capitol.local>]  
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2014 2:24 PM  
To: Sunset Advisory Commission  
Subject: Form submission from: Public Input Form for Agencies Under Review (Public/After Publication)

Submitted on Thursday, June 26, 2014 - 14:24

Agency: DEPARTMENT STATE HEALTH SERVICES DSHS

First Name: Larry

Last Name: Huebel

Title: Radiological Technologist

Organization you are affiliated with: Retired

City: Austin

State: Texas

Your Comments About the Staff Report, Including Recommendations Supported or Opposed: I attended, graduated, and was achieved a Radiology Technician license through an accredited Radiology program 1971-1973. There is a real gain in knowledge, through extended practical training and the program should continue to be a benchmark for obtaining a license. Cancelling the requirement will open the doors for substandard education and simply flood the medical field with poorly trained personel through abbreviated programs.

The pay standards will also suffer greatly for those who went through the 24 month schools, lessening the incentive to obtain better knowledge and increased skills, thus cheapening the profession.

Any Alternative or New Recommendations on This Agency: Keep as a standard the 24 month and accredited programs, keep the licensing requirement!

My Comment Will Be Made Public: I agree