

From: [Sunset Advisory Commission](#)
To: [Janet Wood](#); [Brittany Roberson](#)
Subject: FW: Form submission from: Public Input Form for Agencies Under Review (Public/After Publication)
Date: Monday, June 09, 2014 12:37:07 PM

-----Original Message-----

From: sundrupal@capitol.local [<mailto:sundrupal@capitol.local>]
Sent: Monday, June 09, 2014 12:15 PM
To: Sunset Advisory Commission
Subject: Form submission from: Public Input Form for Agencies Under Review (Public/After Publication)

Submitted on Monday, June 9, 2014 - 12:14

Agency: DEPARTMENT STATE HEALTH SERVICES DSHS

First Name: Dale

Last Name: Griffith

Title:

Organization you are affiliated with:

City: Willow Park

State: Texas

Your Comments About the Staff Report, Including Recommendations Supported or Opposed:

I am strongly opposed to eliminating the Medical Radiographic Technologist Licensure. I believe there is no sound reason for this change. Administrating biologically damaging radiation is not something that should be performed by the untrained. A quick search of the American College of Radiology Website will show the lengths Radiology professionals have gone to lower radiation doses on both children and adults in order to minimize the harmful effects.

To put that radiation in the hands of someone off the street just to save some medical office a few payroll dollars would undermine decades of progress in radiation reduction.

I challenge the board members to ask themselves this question: Do YOU want the kid the just sold you last Lottery Ticket at the Quick Stop administrating a dose of biologically damaging radiation to YOUR child or grandchild. That is what eliminating licensure will allow to happen.

Cheaper is hardly ever better.

Any Alternative or New Recommendations on This Agency: I do recommend that portion of the Medical Radiographic Technologist Licensure that allow for limited licensure with limited education requirement be eliminated. These Limited Licensure technologist often perform diagnostic procedures that are outside the scope of their license and of poor quality.

My Comment Will Be Made Public: I agree