

From: [Sunset Advisory Commission](#)
To: [Janet Wood](#); [Brittany Roberson](#)
Subject: FW: Form submission from: Public Input Form for Agencies Under Review (Public/After Publication)
Date: Monday, June 09, 2014 12:37:28 PM

-----Original Message-----

From: sundrupal@capitol.local [<mailto:sundrupal@capitol.local>]
Sent: Monday, June 09, 2014 11:43 AM
To: Sunset Advisory Commission
Subject: Form submission from: Public Input Form for Agencies Under Review (Public/After Publication)

Submitted on Monday, June 9, 2014 - 11:42

Agency: DEPARTMENT STATE HEALTH SERVICES DSHS

First Name: Bailey

Last Name: Britton

Title: radiologic technologist

Organization you are affiliated with:

City: Amarillo

State: Texas

Your Comments About the Staff Report, Including Recommendations Supported or Opposed: Having a license puts the patient's mind at ease knowing that we have gone through the proper education and time it takes to learn about this profession. Because this is ionizing radiation we need to have a license in order to work with it. I understand how it works and I care to protect my patient's. If someone who came in just thinking this is a "cool" job to do will not care about a.l.a.r.a nor their patient. Also because licensed radiologic technologists study years about different positions and about anatomy etc we understand what needs to be done for this patient in order to get the best diagnostic information. If there are people that can come in without licenses I guarantee the diagnostic quality will go down. This will bring down this entire profession don't ruin the eyes of medicine by taking away the licensure! There needs to be a higher education for this profession. Keeping the licensing will preserve the profession and keep patients safe.

Any Alternative or New Recommendations on This Agency: Continue to do things as they always have been. Why does it need to be changed?

My Comment Will Be Made Public: I agree