

**From:** [Sunset Advisory Commission](#)  
**To:** [Janet Wood](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Form submission from: Public Input Form for Agencies Under Review (Public/After Publication)  
**Date:** Thursday, June 19, 2014 1:33:54 PM

---

-----Original Message-----

From: sundrupal@capitol.local [<mailto:sundrupal@capitol.local>]  
Sent: Thursday, June 19, 2014 8:55 AM  
To: Sunset Advisory Commission  
Subject: Form submission from: Public Input Form for Agencies Under Review (Public/After Publication)

Submitted on Thursday, June 19, 2014 - 08:54

Agency: DEPARTMENT STATE HEALTH SERVICES DSHS

First Name: Jyneil

Last Name: Bown

Title: Registered Radiologic Technologist

Organization you are affiliated with:

City: Houston

State: Texas

Your Comments About the Staff Report, Including Recommendations Supported or Opposed: There are three reasons why this licensure program should not be eliminated. First, patients will be given assurance that they are cared for by professionals who have obtained education and certification requirements. Second, the patients will receive the appropriate optimal dose for the appropriate exam due to being educated on different factors for exposing different types of patients. A registered technologist knows why they are changing factors and aren't just doing what they were told by a physician who doesn't perform the exams. And lastly, the individuals who aren't registered can be held accountable for not using the appropriate dosing for patients.

Any Alternative or New Recommendations on This Agency: DO NOT ELIMINATE THE LICENSURE PROGRAM FOR MEDICAL RADIOLOGIC TECHNOLOGIST.

My Comment Will Be Made Public: I agree