

From: [Sunset Advisory Commission](#)
To: [Dawn Roberson](#)
Subject: FW: Form submission from: Public Input Form for Agencies Under Review (Public/After Publication)
Date: Monday, June 02, 2014 8:35:16 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: sundrupal@capitol.local [<mailto:sundrupal@capitol.local>]

Sent: Friday, May 30, 2014 9:45 PM

To: Sunset Advisory Commission

Subject: Form submission from: Public Input Form for Agencies Under Review (Public/After Publication)

Submitted on Friday, May 30, 2014 - 21:45

Agency: DEPARTMENT AGING AND DISABILITY SERVICES DADS

First Name: Linda

Last Name: Falk

Title: Parent

Organization you are affiliated with: Richmond SSLC

City: Porter

State: Texas

Your Comments About the Staff Report, Including Recommendations Supported or Opposed:

SSLC's provide quality care on an individual basis and are held to high standards, ICF's should offer the same quality care focusing on the individual.

ICF's are not inspected regularly for safety and have no penalties, why?

SSLC's certainly are, and the ICF's should be inspected the same to ensure the welfare and safety of our loved ones.

The Day Habs affiliated with the ICF'S are often disorganized and employ unskilled workers that provide no support for the disabled. There are no vocational skills offered and are often operated in unsafe conditions. More focus needs to be on the individual.

the ICF'S are not required to provide high quality community interactive activities, the SSLC's are.

As far as cost comparison, the medical care that is paid by Medicaid is not included in ICF'S costs, whereas the SSLC's include medical. The cost really need to be re-examined and compared equally, apples to apples!

The SSLC has been a blessing to my child and family, please reconsider the closure of the SSLCs.

Any Alternative or New Recommendations on This Agency: Please reconsider the closure of the SSLCs. We have encountered the ICFs and were not satisfied with the services offered.

My Comment Will Be Made Public: I agree