

From: [Sunset Advisory Commission](#)
To: [Cecelia Hartley](#)
Subject: FW: Public Input Form for Agencies Under Review (Public/After Publication)
Date: Wednesday, November 09, 2016 8:05:16 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: sundrupal@capitol.local [<mailto:sundrupal@capitol.local>]
Sent: Wednesday, November 09, 2016 5:36 AM
To: Sunset Advisory Commission
Subject: Public Input Form for Agencies Under Review (Public/After Publication)

Agency: TEXAS BOARD NURSING BON

First Name: Emily

Last Name: Portis

Title: Student Nurse Midwife

Organization you are affiliated with:

Email:

City: The Colony

State: Texas

Your Comments About the Staff Report, Including Recommendations Supported or Opposed:

APRNs should not need to explain what they do to a committee deciding if they violated their scope of practice. The committee should be made up of mostly APRNs who practice in the same field, so that the proceedings can be focused on the nuances of role-specific APRN practice.

Therefore, when an APRN is called before the BON for possible disciplinary action, those sitting on disciplinary committees should be peers, who are already experts in that APRN's specific area of practice.

Any Alternative or New Recommendations on This Agency: In order to ensure a fair and impartial process of any peer review or disciplinary proceeding, it is important to require the involvement of APRNs peers for cases that call into question APRN practice issues.

My Comment Will Be Made Public: I agree