

From: [Sunset Advisory Commission](#)
To: [Cecelia Hartley](#)
Subject: FW: Public Input Form for Agencies Under Review (Public/After Publication)
Date: Wednesday, November 09, 2016 3:48:16 PM

-----Original Message-----

From: sundrupal@capitol.local [<mailto:sundrupal@capitol.local>]
Sent: Wednesday, November 09, 2016 3:47 PM
To: Sunset Advisory Commission
Subject: Public Input Form for Agencies Under Review (Public/After Publication)

Agency: TEXAS BOARD NURSING BON

First Name: Mary

Last Name: Loftin

Title: CNM

Organization you are affiliated with: CTCNM

Email:

City: Belton

State: Texas

Your Comments About the Staff Report, Including Recommendations Supported or Opposed: When an APRN is being reviewed regarding care provided, particularly when the care may involve scope of practice questions, or any inquiry involving possible disciplinary action, other RNs are not at all equipped to address the questions or the care. Only other APRNs, specifically of the same APRN type, are able to appropriately address the questions. In these cases, an ad hoc committee (or standing committee) of CNMs (for example) would need to be convened to address the practice/scope questions regarding the CNM's care

Any Alternative or New Recommendations on This Agency: Modify rules to include type-specific APRNs as the standard committee composition in any review of an APRN's practice.

My Comment Will Be Made Public: I agree