

From: [Sunset Advisory Commission](#)
To: [Janet Wood](#)
Subject: FW: Public Input Form for Agencies Under Review (Public/After Publication)
Date: Monday, August 22, 2016 3:51:19 PM

-----Original Message-----

From: sundrupal@capitol.local [<mailto:sundrupal@capitol.local>]
Sent: Monday, August 22, 2016 11:01 AM
To: Sunset Advisory Commission
Subject: Public Input Form for Agencies Under Review (Public/After Publication)

Agency: BOARD TRUSTEES EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM TEXAS ERS

First Name: leroy

Last Name: haverlah

Title: retired

Organization you are affiliated with: protective and regulatory services, 22 years

Email:

City: austin

State: Texas

Your Comments About the Staff Report, Including Recommendations Supported or Opposed:

The Sunset Advisory Commission is one of the smartest moves the State has ever made, to take a fresh look at all agencies. And it has been thorough in its work. Thank you.

Any Alternative or New Recommendations on This Agency:

The 6 member ERS board should be represented by at least one retiree.

There should be 2 appointed members, not 3. Not sure why an even number of board members is ever a good idea: maybe 7 or 5.

The financial advisory committee(is that the correct title?) is/has been an invaluable tool for the Board members. Never have understood why 3 appointed members are in a better position to represent employees and retirees than those people actually engaged. And the strength of the agency has to be strong, to protect the employees/retirees interests, now and in the future. A vested interest, if ever there was one.

The appointees come and go.

My Comment Will Be Made Public: I agree