

**From:** [Sunset Advisory Commission](#)  
**To:** [Janet Wood](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Input Form for Agencies Under Review (Public/After Publication)  
**Date:** Friday, December 02, 2016 8:57:04 AM

---

-----Original Message-----

From: sundrupal@capitol.local [<mailto:sundrupal@capitol.local>]  
Sent: Friday, December 02, 2016 8:41 AM  
To: Sunset Advisory Commission  
Subject: Public Input Form for Agencies Under Review (Public/After Publication)

Agency: TEXAS MEDICAL BOARD

First Name: Jennifer

Last Name: Garcia

Title: LMRT

Organization you are affiliated with: College of Healthcare Professions

Email:

City: Austin

State: Texas

Your Comments About the Staff Report, Including Recommendations Supported or

Opposed: I oppose the recommendation of abolishing LMRT license and programs for many reasons. We have great instructors and hands on training in the field in order to perform high quality x-rays on patients. We are given 600 hours of training in order to make sure we have the proper training as oppose to 120 hours. We get more interaction with patients and more training to assure we know what we are doing. It would be crazy to say an NCT is more qualified then an LMRT. NCT may be less "paper work" for the agency, but I think I would rather have a LMRT perform exams on me and just do more paper work. LMRT are trained on patient dose and exactly how x-rays are made!

Patient dose is a serious thing.

Any Alternative or New Recommendations on This Agency: I oppose abolishing the LMRT program an license!  
100%

My Comment Will Be Made Public: I agree