

From: [Sunset Advisory Commission](#)
To: [Janet Wood](#)
Subject: FW: Public Input Form for Agencies Under Review (Public/After Publication)
Date: Friday, December 02, 2016 8:56:50 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: sundrupal@capitol.local [<mailto:sundrupal@capitol.local>]
Sent: Friday, December 02, 2016 8:39 AM
To: Sunset Advisory Commission
Subject: Public Input Form for Agencies Under Review (Public/After Publication)

Agency: TEXAS MEDICAL BOARD

First Name: Jennifer

Last Name: Crawford

Title: LMRT

Organization you are affiliated with: The College of Health Care Professions

Email:

City: Austin

State: Texas

Your Comments About the Staff Report, Including Recommendations Supported or Opposed: I oppose the recommendation of getting rid of the Limited Radiologic Technologist Certifications. I think that the program should stay due to the fact that the states are growing and getting more and more urgent cares that are in need of LMRT's that can do the job of both a Medical Assistant and an X-Ray Technologist . These offices don't deal with the majority of what hospitals do that require an RT. I feel that they should get rid of the NCT program due to the fact that they are only allowed to do AP and Lateral movements of the extremities, when a LMRT can do way much more than that. I feel that it would cut costs and make the job and the process go a lot smoother because you already have that one technologist there that can do the job of 2 people and do it with no limitations at a non hospital which more people are going to now. If you have a non certified technologists doing X-Rays and they use too much of an exposure or continue to do repeats because they haven't been properly trained you are putting the patient at risk.

Any Alternative or New Recommendations on This Agency: I recommend abolishing the NCT program all together.

My Comment Will Be Made Public: I agree