

From: [Sunset Advisory Commission](#)
To: [Cecelia Hartley](#)
Subject: FW: Public Input Form for Agencies Under Review (Public/After Publication)
Date: Wednesday, November 09, 2016 8:05:30 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: sundrupal@capitol.local [<mailto:sundrupal@capitol.local>]
Sent: Wednesday, November 09, 2016 2:19 AM
To: Sunset Advisory Commission
Subject: Public Input Form for Agencies Under Review (Public/After Publication)

Agency: TEXAS BOARD NURSING BON

First Name: CHRISTINA

Last Name: Buchanan

Title: Cnm

Organization you are affiliated with: Family centered maternity care

Email:

City: Rockwall

State: Texas

Your Comments About the Staff Report, Including Recommendations Supported or Opposed: Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Any Alternative or New Recommendations on This Agency:

I wholeheartedly agree with the following statements:

1. APRNs should not need to explain what they do to a committee deciding if they violated their scope of practice. The committee should be made up of mostly APRNs who practice in the same field, so that the proceedings can be focused on the nuances of role-specific APRN practice.
2. When an APRN is called before the BON for possible disciplinary action, those sitting on disciplinary committees should be peers, who are already experts in that APRN's specific area of practice.
3. Whenever an APRN is under disciplinary investigation, the majority of those doing the investigating should be APRNs in the same specialty.
4. The Eligibility and Disciplinary Committee should be required to form an Ad Hoc committee of APRNs with the same area of practice as any APRN under review, whenever an APRN is referred for possible sanction by that committee.
5. In order to ensure a fair and impartial process of any peer review or disciplinary proceeding, it is important to require the involvement of APRNs peers for cases that call into question APRN.

My Comment Will Be Made Public: I agree