

From: [Sunset Advisory Commission](#)
To: [Jalisa Broussard](#)
Subject: FW: Public Input Form for Agencies Under Review (Private/Before Publication)
Date: Monday, August 17, 2026 1:06:06 PM

From: sunset.website@brightleafgroup.com <sunset.website@brightleafgroup.com>
Sent: Monday, August 17, 2026 12:39 PM
To: Sunset Advisory Commission <sunset@sunset.texas.gov>
Subject: Public Input Form for Agencies Under Review (Private/Before Publication)

Submitted on Mon, 08/17/2026 - 07:47

Submitted by: Visitor

Submitted values are:

Choose the agency that you would like to provide input about
[Texas Workforce Commission](#)

Public Comments

1

First Name

Melissa

Last Name

Berry

Title

Executive Director

Organization you are affiliated with

The Goddard Schools of Wylie and Princeton

Email

dwylietx@goddardschools.com

City

Wylie

State

Texas

Your Comments or Concerns

We're told to participate in the Pre-K partnership program, however that is not an option when Wylie ISD built out an entire elementary school just for UPK- Hartman Elementary. We have lost a majority of our 4-year-old enrollment to Hartman- children who are not a part of a military family, who are not anywhere near the poverty line, who are natural citizens and English is their home language and who do not need access to SPED. These families were initially denied access to UPK, because they did not qualify. The decision was then reversed at the beginning of the school year for every family.

Our TRS annual review was a fail. We were told by our original mentor, then again by our new mentor, that the program was 'moving to having everything uploaded to TECPDS', but it wasn't yet mandatory. We were mostly finished, but still had some older trainings to have verified. We were also given a 'new' training plan, which turned out to be the wrong version. During our assessment, it was raining, so no assessments happened outside. Teachers were marked 0 on these items, instead of being asked what they bring outside which is what we were told would happen. For these reasons, we failed our assessment.

Your Proposed Solution

It seems that the TRS mentors and TRS assessors are not always aligned in their interpretation or application of the requirements. This would be frustrating under normal circumstances, but it becomes a much greater hardship when maintaining TRS eligibility can be the difference between being able to accept students through CCMS to offset the students we are losing to UPK and potentially having to close the school.

When adjustments are made to the FARF or other mandatory changes are implemented, mentors should be fully informed and prepared to communicate the applicable timelines, deadlines, and expectations to schools. Schools should not be learning about critical requirements or changes differently depending on whether they are speaking with their mentor or reading about their noncompliance on their completed assessment. There also needs to be greater consistency and objectivity in how assessors determine compliance. It is concerning when mentors tell us that certain assessors are known to be more stringent than others, or that individual assessors may make their own determinations about whether a teacher is truly implementing TRS requirements. If schools are being evaluated against the same standards, compliance should be measured consistently regardless of which assessor conducts the visit.

Ultimately, schools need clear, consistent expectations and reasonable notice when requirements change. For example, we were told by 2 mentors that TRS was 'moving

towards' everything being uploaded to TECPDS, however we were marked in noncompliance when some of our older training certificates were not verified at the time of our assessment. The outcome of a TRS assessment has significant operational and financial consequences, so the process should be as objective, transparent, and consistent as possible.

The ISDs that are providing space for UPK, instead of offering a pre-k partnership to existing preschools, should be held accountable for following their own requirements for enrolling students. Allowing preschools to shut down due to lack of enrollment will create a lack of quality offerings for Infants through three-year-olds.

My Comments Will Be Made Public

Yes